

(40) i) 2 \times pizza, blintzes,
skilled, unskilled
 $\frac{1}{q}$ \times education
 q markets

P_{pt} price of pizza in period t
P_{bt} " " blintzes " "
W_{st} skilled wages in period t
W_{ut} unskilled " " "
P_e tuition fees

Russian household, skilled

Assuming inelastic labour supply
 $L_{st}^r = 1$.

$\sum_{t=1}^r x_{pt}^r$ pizza consumption
 $\sum_{t=1}^r x_{bt}^r$ blintzes consumption

$\pi_{rest.}^r$ school firm profits
utility $u(x_{pt}^r, x_{bt}^r) + u(x_{pt}^r, x_{bt}^r)$

They solve:
 $\max_{x_{pt}^r, x_{bt}^r} u(x_{pt}^r, x_{bt}^r) + u(x_{pt}^r, x_{bt}^r)$

s.t. $\sum_{t=1}^r \{ P_{bt} x_{bt}^r + P_{pt} x_{pt}^r \}$

$\leq \sum_{t=1}^r w_{st} \cdot 1 + \pi_{rest}^r + \pi_{school}^r$

Local problem Let H^e be the set of Edinburgh households. Then household $h \in H^e$ chooses

x_{pt}^h pizza consumption in period t
 x_{bt}^h blintzes "

e^h education choice, 0 or 1
receive profits $\frac{\pi_{pizza}}{|H^e|}$.

Assume same utility as Russians.

They solve:

$\max_{x_{pt}^h, x_{bt}^h, e^h, L_t^h, S_t^h} u(x_{pt}^h, x_{bt}^h)$

s.t. $\sum_{t=1}^r \{ P_{pt} x_{pt}^h + P_{bt} x_{bt}^h \} + P_e e^h$

$\leq w_{u1} (1 - e^h)$ + $[e w_{s2} + (1-e) w_{u2}]$

$\text{time spent studying}$

pizza restaurant: hires L_t^p workers in period t, and produces $P_t = f(L_t^p)$ pizzas. Its problem is

$\pi_{pizza} (P_p, P_{bt}; w_{u1}, w_{u2})$

$= \max_{L_1^p, L_2^p} \sum_{t=1}^r \{ P_{pt} f(L_t^p) - w_{ut} L_t^p \}$.

Russian restaurant hires L_t^b workers in period t, and produces $B_t = g(L_t^b)$ blintzes. Profit function:

$\pi_{blintzes} (P_b, P_{bt}; w_{s1}, w_{s2})$

$= \max_{L_1^b, L_2^b} \sum_{t=1}^r \{ P_{bt} g(L_t^b) - w_{st} L_t^b \}$

Russian school hires L_t^s workers in period t, and produces $E_t = h(L_t^s)$ school places. Profit function:

$\pi_{school} (P_e; w_{s1})$

$= \max_{L_t^s} P_e h(L_t^s) - w_{s1} L_t^s$.

Equilibrium prices ($P_{bt}, P_{pt}, P_e, w_{st}, w_{ut}$) and quantities ($x_{pt}^r, x_{bt}^r, x_{pt}^h, x_{bt}^h, e^h, L_t^h, P_t, L_t^p, B_t, E_t, L_t^s$)

form an equilibrium if each choice solves the relevant problem above, and all markets clear:

$x_{pt}^r + \sum_{h \in H^e} x_{pt}^h = P_1$

$x_{pt}^r + \sum_{h \in H^e} x_{pt}^h = P_2$

$x_{bt}^r + \sum_{h \in H^e} x_{bt}^h = B_1$

$x_{bt}^r + \sum_{h \in H^e} x_{bt}^h = B_2$

$1 + 0 = L_1^s + L_1^b$

$\underbrace{1 + 0}_{\text{supply of skilled labour in period t}} = \underbrace{L_1^s + L_1^b}_{\text{demand of skilled labour in period t}}$

$\sum_{h \in H^e} \{ 1 - e_h \} = L_1^p$

$\underbrace{\sum_{h \in H^e} \{ 1 - e_h \}}_{\text{unskilled labour supply}} = \underbrace{L_1^p}_{\text{unskilled labour demand}}$

$1 + \sum_{h \in H^e} e_h = L_2^b$

$\sum_{h \in H^e} (1 - e_h) = L_2^p$

$\sum_{h \in H^e} e_h = E$

(i) Since π_{school} is the upper envelope of linear (\Rightarrow convex) functions (one function per L^s), we know π_{school} is a convex function.

By the envelope theorem

$\frac{\partial \pi_{school}}{\partial w_{s1}} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial w_s} \{ P_e h(L^s) - w_s L^s \} \right]$

$= \left[-L^s \right] \Big|_{L^s(P_e; w_{s1})}$

$= -L^s(P_e; w_{s1})$.

Since π_{school} is convex, the left side is increasing in w_{s1} .

So the right side is too.

So $L^s(P_e; w_{s1})$ is decreasing in w_{s1} .

(ii) $V(e)$, the value of education, is

$V(e) = \max_{x_{pt}^h, x_{bt}^h} \sum_{t=1}^r u(x_{pt}^h, x_{bt}^h)$

s.t. $\sum_{t=1}^r \{ P_{pt} x_{pt}^h + P_{bt} x_{bt}^h \} + P_e e$

$\leq \text{same as before}$

Bellman eq: $\max_{e \in \{0,1\}} V(e)$. = indirect utility function

(v) Want to prove, in every eq, all local households consume the same.

If some households study, and others don't, then $V(0) = V(1)$.

(Otherwise, everyone would switch!)

So the wealth of all locals is the same, i.e.:

$w_{u1} + w_{u2} + \frac{\pi_{pizza}}{|H^e|}$

$= w_{u1} (1 - e) - P_e + w_{u2} + \frac{\pi_{pizza}}{|H^e|}$.

Both $e=0$ and $e=1$ households solve the same problem (RHS $V(\cdot)$).

This problem has a unique solution if the utility function is strictly concave.

So all locals consume the same food.