

## Appendix G cont'd

$$V_t(k) = \max_{\{x_s\}_{s=t}^{\infty}} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} u(x_s)$$

s.t.  $\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} x_s = k.$

Q: Is there an optimal solution?

New Bellman equation:

$$V_t(k) = \sup_{x_t, k' \geq 0} u(x_t) + \beta V_{t+1}(k')$$

s.t.  $x_t + k' = k.$

Time  $t$  is the "same" as  $t+1$ .

$$V_0 = V_1 = V_2 = \dots = V.$$

Rewrite without  $t$

$$V(k) = \sup_{x, k' \geq 0} u(x) + \beta V(k')$$

s.t.  $x + k' = k.$

This is an equation with an unknown variable  $V$  appearing on both sides.  
Similar to solving:  $x = \sqrt{x} + x^2$ ?

## C8 Fixed Points

Thinking about equations like

$$x = f(x).$$

Def A function  $f$  is a self-map if  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , i.e. domain = co-domain

Def Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$ . We say  $x^* \in X$  is a fixed point of  $f$  if  $x^* = f(x^*)$ .

Def Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, and  $a > 0$ . A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is Lipschitz continuous of degree  $a$  if for every  $x, x' \in X$ ,

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq a d_X(x, x').$$

HW: (Q4a) implies  $f$  is continuous.

Def Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. The self-map  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction if it is Lipschitz continuous of degree  $a < 1$ , i.e.  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a d(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

### Banach's Fixed Point Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. If  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction of degree  $a$ , then

- (i)  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $x^*$ , ("the" fixed point)
- (ii) Given any  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence defined by  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  converges to  $x^*$ ,
- (iii)  $d(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{a^n}{1-a} d(x_0, x_1)$ .

Proof Uniqueness: Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $x^*, x^{**} \in X$  where are fixed points of  $f$ , and  $x^* \neq x^{**}$ .

Being fixed points,

$$d(\underbrace{f(x^*)}_{x^*}, \underbrace{f(x^{**})}_{x^{**}}) = d(x^*, x^{**}).$$

But the contraction property requires

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x^*), f(x^{**})) &\leq ad(x^*, x^{**}) \\ &< d(x^*, x^{**}). \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction.

### Existence and convergence:

Our main task is to prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(f(x_0), f(x_1)),$$

because  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ . apply  $f$   $n$  times

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m}) = d(f^n(x_0), f^n(x_m))$$

Now, the contraction property implies

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(f(x_0), f(x_1)) \leq ad(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(f^n(x_0), f^n(x_1)) = d(f(f^{n-1}(x_0)), f(f^{n-1}(x_1))) \\ &\leq ad(f^{n-1}(x_0), f^{n-1}(x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, x_{n+m}) &\leq a^2 d(f^{n-2}(x_0), f^{n-2}(x_1)) \\ &\leq a^n d(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq a^n d(x_0, x_m).$$

This implies

triangle inequality

$$d(x_0, x_m) \leq d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) \\ + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m)$$

$$\leq d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + \dots \text{never ends}$$

$$\leq d(x_0, x_1) + \underbrace{ad(x_0, x_1)}_{\text{formula}} + a^2 d(x_0, x_1) + \dots$$

$$= d(x_0, x_1) [1 + a + a^2 + \dots]$$

$$= \frac{d(x_0, x_1)}{1-a}.$$

Combining, we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq \frac{a^n}{1-a} d(x_0, x_1).$$

Fix any radius  $r > 0$ , and let

$N$  be a number satisfying

$$\frac{a^N}{1-a} d(x_0, x_1) < \frac{r}{2}.$$

$$\text{Then } d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(x_{n+N}, x_N) + d(x_N, x_m)$$

$$\leq \frac{a^N}{1-a} d(x_0, x_1) + \frac{a^N}{1-a} d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$< \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2}$$

$$= r \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq N.$$

So  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, and  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence,  $x_n$  converges to some point  $x^* \in X$ , i.e.  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ .

By continuity of  $f$ ,  $\underbrace{f(x_n)}_{y_n} \rightarrow f(x^*)$ .

Since  $y_n = f(x_n) = x_{n+1}$  is a subsequence of  $x_n$ . So  $y_n \rightarrow x^*$ .

Since  $y_n \rightarrow x^*$  and  $y_n \rightarrow f(x^*)$  we conclude  $x^* = f(x^*)$ . So  $x^*$  is the fixed point.

Approximation bound: ~~By result~~

$$d(x_n, x^*)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m)$$

Since  $d$  is continuous and  $x_m \rightarrow x^*$

$$\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^n}{1-a} d(x_0, x_i) \text{ by formula}$$

$$= \frac{a^n}{1-a} d(x_0, x_i). \quad \square$$

## Appendix G (again)

The Bellman operator is

$$F(V')(k) = \sup_{x, k' \geq 0} u(x) + \beta V'(k')$$

tomorrow's  
value  
function

$$\text{s.t. } x+k' = k,$$

which is a function whose domain and codomain is a set of possible value functions, e.g.  $(B(\mathbb{R}), d_\infty)$ .

$$F(V) = \left[ k \mapsto \sup_{x, k' \geq 0} u(x) + \beta V'(k') \right]$$

s.t.  $x+k'=k$

The Bellman equation becomes

$$V = F(V).$$

Remaining task: prove  $F$  is a contraction.

## Blackwell's Lemma (1965)

Suppose  $u$  is a bounded utility function, i.e.  $u \in B(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Then the Bellman operator is a contraction of degree  $\beta$  on  $(B(\mathbb{R}_+), d_\infty)$ .

Proof ~~Precisely~~  $F$  is a self-map:

Fix any  $V' \in B(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . We first show  $F(V')$  exists and  $F(V')$  is bounded, i.e.  $F(V') \in B(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Since  $u$  and  $V'$  are bounded, there open balls  $N_r(0)$  and  $N_s(0)$  containing the ranges of  $u$  and  $V'$  respectively. Therefore every choice  $(x, k')$  involves the objective lying inside  $N_{r+\beta s}(0)$ . So the supremum exists and  $F(V')$  exists and it is bounded.